| Interview Summary   | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|
|   | 09/827,810                        | BYSTEDT ET AL. |
|   | Examiner                          | Art Unit       |
|   | Tuan A. Vu                        | 2193           |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |                                   |                |
| (1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .   | (3)                               |                |
| (2) <u>John Lastova</u> .   | (4)                               |                |
| Date of Interview: 22 September 2005.   |                                   |                |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  |                                   |                |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:   |                                   |                |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1.  |                                   |                |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .   |                                   |                |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.   |                                   |                |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |                                   |                |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |                                   |                |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   |                                   |                |
|   | Tuant                             | nhu            |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  | Examiner's signature, if required |                |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Application No. 09/827,810

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner has asked if the unofficial proposed amendements previously sent to Examiner could be further readjusted to set forth the sequence of steps as purported by the claimed program supervision method; and Applicant' representative agreed to follow the Examiner's suggestion so that some key steps in the supervision of the completed object are better understood after those steps are laid out as to follow a clearer time sequence. All independent claim, it has been agreed upon, are to include similar changse and from there allowance could be established and effected via Examiner's Amendment.